

Vortex solutions of four-fermion theory coupled to a Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons gauge field

Hyuk-jae Lee,^{*} Joo Youl Lee,[†] and Jae Hyung Yee[‡]

Department of Physics and Natural Science Research Institute, Yonsei University, Seoul, 151-742, Korea

(Received 18 March 1998; published 1 September 1998)

We have constructed a four-fermion theory coupled to a Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons gauge field which admits static multivortex solutions. This is achieved through the introduction of an anomalous magnetic interaction term, in addition to the usual minimal coupling, and the appropriate choice of the fermion quartic coupling constant. [S0556-2821(98)01718-4]

PACS number(s): 11.15.Kc, 11.10.Kk

Since the introduction of the Chern-Simons action [1] as a new possible gauge field theory in (2+1)-dimensional space-time, it has been successfully applied to explain various (2+1)-dimensional phenomena including high T_c superconductivity and the integral and fractional quantum Hall effects. The Chern-Simons term has also made it possible to construct various field theoretic models which possess classical vortex solutions with various physically interesting properties [2–10]. They include the relativistic [2] and non-relativistic [3] scalar field theories interacting with Abelian Chern-Simons fields, which admit static multivortex solutions saturating the Bogomol'nyi bound [4] that reduces the second-order field equations to first-order ones. Vortex solutions have also been found for scalar theories coupled to both the Maxwell and Chern-Simons terms [5]. Such theories with static vortex solutions have also been extended by introducing supersymmetry [6] and by adding a new interaction term such as an anomalous magnetic interaction term [7].

Chern-Simons gauge theories coupled to relativistic [8] and nonrelativistic [9,10] fermion matter fields have also been found to admit static vortex solutions. Recently it has been found that the four-fermion theory coupled to a Maxwell-Chern-Simons field admits static vortex solutions that have an interesting physical property [11]. In this theory two matter currents, the electromagnetic current and a new topological current associated with the electromagnetic current, couple to the gauge field. This may provide an interesting model for studying the dynamical properties of magnetic vortices from the field theoretic point of view. It is the purpose of this paper to study the non-Abelian generalization of this model.

We consider the self-interacting spinor field theory coupled to a non-Abelian Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons field described by the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \text{Tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} A_\rho - \frac{1}{3} A_\mu [A_\nu, A_\rho] \right) \\ & + i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{1}{2} g (\bar{\psi} T^a \psi) (\bar{\psi} T^a \psi), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the γ matrices are chosen to be

$$\gamma^0 = \sigma^3, \quad \gamma^1 = i\sigma^1, \quad \gamma^2 = i\sigma^2, \quad (2)$$

in terms of the Pauli matrices σ^i , the vector potentials are represented as anti-Hermitian matrices as

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a, \quad (3)$$

with the group generators T^a satisfying

$$[T^a, T^b] = f^{abc} T^c, \quad (4)$$

$$(T^a)^\dagger = -T^a, \quad (5)$$

and the spinor field ψ transforms as an irreducible representation of the Lie group generated by T^a . We introduce the covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_μ including both the usual minimal coupling and the magnetic moment interaction with the magnetic moment u [7],

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \psi = D_\mu \psi + \frac{u}{4} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} F^{\nu\rho} \psi, \quad (6)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + A_\mu$.

The equations of motion are

$$i \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \psi - m \psi + g T^a (\bar{\psi} T^a \psi) \psi = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha F^{\alpha\beta,a} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{\beta\alpha\lambda} F_{\alpha\lambda}^a \\ = -i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\beta T^a \psi - i \frac{u}{2} \epsilon^{\beta\alpha\lambda} D_\alpha (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\lambda T^a \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that the anti-Hermitian matrix version of the current density reads

$$j_\mu = T^a j_\mu^a = -i T^a (\bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu T^a \psi) \quad (9)$$

and the matter density is defined as

$$\rho = T^a \rho^a = -i T^a (\psi^\dagger T^a \psi). \quad (10)$$

We will show that the system described by the Lagrangian (1) supports static vortex solutions. For this purpose, we choose the temporal gauge $A_0 = 0$ and consider the gauge field A_i to be static. We take the fermion field ψ in compo-

^{*}Electronic address: hjlee@theory.yonsei.ac.kr

[†]Electronic address: jyee@phy.yonsei.ac.kr

[‡]Electronic address: jhyee@phy.yonsei.ac.kr

ment form, $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix} e^{-iE_f t}$, where E_f is a constant and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the spin-up and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ the spin-down Pauli spinors [8,11]. The equation of motion (7) can then be written as coupled equations for ψ_+ and ψ_- . If we choose the spinor field as

$$\psi = \psi_+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-iE_f t}, \quad (11)$$

then the field equation (7) reduces to

$$\left(E_f - m + i g \rho_+^a T^a + i \frac{u}{2} F^{12,a} T^a \right) \psi_+ = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_+ \psi_+ = 0, \quad (13)$$

due to the choice of γ matrices (2), and if we take

$$\psi = \psi_- \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{-iE_f t}, \quad (14)$$

Eq. (7) reduces to

$$\left(-E_f - m - i g \rho_-^a T^a - i \frac{u}{2} F^{12,a} T^a \right) \psi_- = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_- \psi_- = 0, \quad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_\pm = \mathcal{D}_1 \pm i \mathcal{D}_2$ and $\rho_\pm = -i T^a (\psi_\pm^\dagger T^a \psi_\pm)$. $\mathcal{D}_i = D_i$, for $i=1,2$, since we have chosen the gauge condition $A_0 = 0$. The above equations show that the fermion fields ψ_+ and ψ_- satisfy the self-dual equations.

From Eqs. (2), (11), and (14), we find $\bar{\psi} \gamma^i \psi = 0$, which implies that $\epsilon^{0ij} D_i (\bar{\psi} \gamma_j \psi) = 0$, for $i, j = 1, 2$. Then, because of Eqs. (11) and (14), Eq. (8) reduces to

$$F_{12}^a T^a \equiv -B = \frac{1}{\kappa} \rho_\pm^a T^a, \quad (17)$$

$$D_i F^{ij,a} = -\frac{u}{2} \epsilon^{0ij} D_i \rho_\pm^a, \quad (18)$$

where Eq. (17) is the Gauss' law constraint. For the above two equations to be consistent the constants u and κ must satisfy the condition

$$u = -\frac{2}{\kappa}. \quad (19)$$

This shows that for the theory (1) to have consistent static field equations, one needs to introduce an anomalous magnetic interaction term. For Eqs. (12) and (15) to be consistent with the Gauss law constraint (17), the quartic coupling constant must satisfy

$$g = \frac{u^2}{4} \quad (20)$$

and

$$(E_f - m) \psi_+ = 0,$$

$$(-E_f - m) \psi_- = 0, \quad (21)$$

which determine the constant E_f for the solutions (11) and (14), respectively. The self-dual equations (13) and (16) then become

$$D_\pm \psi_\pm = 0. \quad (22)$$

This result corresponds to the fermion version of the non-Abelian generalization [12,13] of the Jackiw-Pi model [3].

To solve the self-dual equations, we use the adjoint representation for the matter fields and define the matter field matrix Ψ by contracting the multiplet ψ with generators of the Lie algebra. We usually denote the representation of the generators by $T^a = T^a$ [T^a is $(2i)^{-1}$ times the Pauli matrices or Gell-Mann matrices for SU(2) and SU(3), respectively]:

$$\Psi_{mn} = \psi_a (T^a)_{mn}. \quad (23)$$

In this representation, the self-dual matter field equation, for the ψ_+ field of Eq. (11), may be written as

$$\partial_+ \Psi + [A_+, \Psi] = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\partial_- \Psi^\dagger + [A_-, \Psi^\dagger] = 0, \quad (25)$$

where $\partial_\pm = \partial_1 \pm i \partial_2$ and $A_\pm = A_1 \pm i A_2$. The matter density (10) then reads

$$\rho = -i T^a (\psi_m^\dagger f_{man} \psi_n) = i \psi_m^\dagger [T^m, T^n] \psi_n = -i [\Psi^\dagger, \Psi], \quad (26)$$

and the Chern-Simons equation (17) becomes

$$\partial_- A_+ - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, A_+] = \frac{2i}{\kappa} \rho. \quad (27)$$

For the field ψ_- of Eq. (14), the equations may be written as

$$\partial_- \Psi + [A_-, \Psi] = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\partial_+ \Psi^\dagger + [A_+, \Psi^\dagger] = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\partial_- A_+ - \partial_+ A_- + [A_-, A_+] = \frac{2i}{\kappa} \rho. \quad (30)$$

In order to find the solutions of these field equations we employ some standard Lie group notation [12,13]. The group generators are given in the Cartan-Weyl basis, with the commuting set, which comprises the Cartan subalgebra, denoted by $H^i = (H^i)^\dagger$ and the ladder generators denoted by $E^{\pm n} = (E^{\mp n})^\dagger$. The index i ranges over the rank r of the group, while n ranges up to s such that $2s + r = d$, the dimension of the group. It is always possible to select an r -member subset that satisfies

$$[E^n, E^{-n'}] = \delta_{nn'} \sum_{i=1}^r v_n^i H^i, \quad (31)$$

of ladder operators

$$[H^i, E^{\pm n}] = \pm v_n^i E^{\pm n}, \quad (32)$$

and the $v_n^i = -v_{-n}^i$ comprise s real “root vectors” for $n = 1, \dots, s$ with r components, $i = 1, \dots, r$. Introducing a new symbol, $e^\alpha = c_\alpha E^\alpha$ such that c_α is a numerical factor, we have

$$[e^\alpha, e^{-\alpha'}] = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} h^\alpha, \quad (33)$$

$$[h^\alpha, e^{-\beta}] = K_{\beta\alpha} e^\beta, \quad (34)$$

where

$$h^\alpha = |c_\alpha|^2 \sum_{i=1}^r v_\alpha^i H^i, \quad (35)$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = |c_\beta|^2 \sum_{i=1}^r v_\alpha^i v_\beta^i. \quad (36)$$

$K_{\alpha\beta}$ is called the Cartan matrix. Now we will show that a special ansatz reduces the self-dual equations to integrable nonlinear equations. This is achieved by using the field decomposition in the form

$$\Psi = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r u_\alpha e^\alpha, \quad (37)$$

$$A_- = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r A_\alpha h^\alpha, \quad (38)$$

$$A_+ = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^r A_\alpha^* h^\alpha. \quad (39)$$

For the ψ_+ field, the self-dual matter field equation reads

$$\partial_+ u_\alpha - u_\alpha \sum_{\beta=1}^r K_{\alpha\beta} A_\beta^* = 0. \quad (40)$$

This equation can be solved for A_α^* :

$$A_\alpha^* = \sum_{\beta=1}^r K_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \partial_+ \log u_\beta. \quad (41)$$

Similarly, for the ψ_- field, we find

$$\partial_- u_\alpha + u_\alpha \sum_{\beta=1}^r K_{\alpha\beta} A_\beta - it(\partial_- E_f) u_\alpha = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$A_\alpha = - \sum_{\beta=1}^r K_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \partial_- \log u_\beta. \quad (43)$$

The Chern-Simons equations, Eq. (27) or (30), can then be written, by virtue of Eqs. (38) and (39), as

$$\partial_- A_\alpha^* + \partial_+ A_\alpha = \frac{2}{\kappa} \rho_\alpha, \quad (44)$$

where $\rho_\alpha = u_\alpha^\dagger u_\alpha$ for this representation. From Eq. (44) and Eq. (41) or (43), we finally find that the matter density ρ_α satisfies the Toda equation

$$\nabla^2 \ln \rho_\alpha = \pm \frac{2}{\kappa} \sum_{\beta=1}^r K_{\alpha\beta} \rho_\beta, \quad (45)$$

where the \pm signs are for the solutions for the cases (11) and (14), respectively. From this Toda equation, we can find the solutions for the general gauge group $SU(N)$. This shows that one can find the solutions for the self-dual equations of the theory (1) for general group $SU(N)$.

The total energy of the system can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int d^2 r \mathcal{H} \\ &= \int d^2 r \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(F_{12}^a + \frac{u}{2} \rho_\pm^a \right) \left(F_{12}^a + \frac{u}{2} \rho_\pm^a \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(g - \frac{u^2}{4} \right) \rho_\pm^a \rho_\pm^a \pm m \psi_\pm^\dagger \psi_\pm \right] \\ &= \pm m \int d^2 r \psi_\pm^\dagger \psi_\pm, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\psi_\pm^\dagger \psi_\pm = \sum_i \psi_\pm^{\dagger i} \psi_\pm^i$ with i denoting the components of the field multiplet, and we have used the Gauss' law constraint and the consistency conditions (19) and (20). Note that these consistency conditions are such that all the quartic interaction terms in the Hamiltonian cancel out as in the Jackiw-Pi model.

The Toda equation (45) for general $SU(N)$ is not soluble in closed form. For the case of $SU(2)$, however, Eq. (45) reduces to the Liouville equation

$$\nabla^2 \ln \rho_\pm = \pm \frac{4}{\kappa} \rho_\pm, \quad (47)$$

which is completely integrable.

If we take the case of Eq. (11), ρ_α becomes ρ_+ and $\kappa < 0$ is required in order to have a nonsingular positive charge density ρ_+ . If we take the case of Eq. (14), on the other hand, $\rho_\alpha = \rho_-$ and $\kappa > 0$ is required for the nonsingular density ρ_- . That is, both solutions involve only one of the $(2+1)$ -dimensional spinor field components, depending on the sign of κ . This corresponds to the embedding of $U(1)$ into $SU(2)$.

The most general circularly symmetric nonsingular solutions to the Liouville equation (47) involve two positive constants r_\pm and \mathcal{N}_\pm [3]:

$$\rho_\pm = \mp \frac{2\kappa \mathcal{N}_\pm^2}{r^2} \left[\left(\frac{r_\mp}{r} \right)^{\mathcal{N}_\pm} + \left(\frac{r}{r_\mp} \right)^{\mathcal{N}_\pm} \right]^{-2}, \quad (48)$$

where r_\mp are scale parameters and the $(-)$ sign is for negative κ and the $(+)$ sign for positive κ . To fix \mathcal{N}_\pm , we observe that regularity at the origin, $\rho_\pm \sim r^{2\mathcal{N}_\pm-2}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, and at infinity, $\rho_\pm \sim r^{-2\mathcal{N}_\pm-2}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, requires $\mathcal{N}_\pm \geq 1$. Es-

pecially for single valuedness of ψ_{\pm} , \mathcal{N}_{\pm} must be an integer [11,13]. The total charge of the soliton is then given by

$$Q_{\pm} = \int \rho_{\pm} d^2r = \mp 2\pi\kappa\mathcal{N}_{\pm} > 0, \quad (49)$$

which is the same as that of Refs. [12,13]. From the solution (48), for the SU(2) case, the total energy of the system can be shown to be

$$E = \pm m(2\pi|\kappa|\mathcal{N}_{\pm}). \quad (50)$$

We have thus extended the four-fermion theory coupled to a Maxwell-Chern-Simons field [11], which admits static multivortex solutions, to the one with non-Abelian symmetry. We have shown that this non-Abelian model also admits multivortex solutions. This is achieved through the introduc-

tion of an anomalous magnetic interaction term in addition to the conventional minimal gauge coupling, which is responsible for the right-hand side of Eq. (18) that guarantees the consistent Gauss' law constraint, Eq. (17). Although the multivortex solutions for the SU(2) case are the same as those of Jackiw-Pi model, the moduli space dynamics [14] of these solutions will be quite different due to the Maxwell term in the Lagrangian which is quadratic in time derivatives of the gauge field.

This work was supported in part by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation under Grant Nos. 065-0200-001-2 and 97-07-02-02-01-3, by the Center for Theoretical Physics (SNU), and by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, under Project No. BSRI-97-2425. H.-j.L. wishes also to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation.

-
- [1] R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2291 (1981); J. Schonfeld, Nucl. Phys. **B185**, 157 (1981); R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982); S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **140**, 372 (1982); C. R. Hagen, *ibid.* **157**, 342 (1984).
 - [2] J. Hong, Y. Kim, and P. Y. Pac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2230 (1990); R. Jackiw and E. J. Weinberg, *ibid.* **64**, 2234 (1990).
 - [3] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2969 (1990).
 - [4] E. B. Bogomol'nyi, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **24**, 449 (1976).
 - [5] S. K. Paul and A. Khare, Phys. Lett. B **174**, 420 (1986); C. Lee, K. Lee, and H. Min, *ibid.* **252**, 79 (1990).
 - [6] T. Lee and H. Min, Phys. Rev. D **50**, R7738 (1994).
 - [7] M. Torres, Phys. Rev. D **46**, R2295 (1992); A. Antillón, J. Escalona, G. Germán, and M. Torres, Phys. Lett. B **359**, 327 (1995).
 - [8] S. Li and R. K. Bhaduri, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3573 (1991); J. Shin and J. H. Yee, *ibid.* **50**, 4223 (1994).
 - [9] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy, and L. Palla, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **249**, 265 (1995).
 - [10] Z. Németh, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 5066 (1997).
 - [11] S. Hyun, J. Shin, J. H. Yee, and H.-j. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 3900 (1997).
 - [12] B. Grossman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3230 (1990); G. V. Dunne, R. Jackiw, S. Y. Pi, and C. A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 1332 (1991).
 - [13] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **107**, 1 (1992).
 - [14] N. S. Manton, Phys. Lett. **110B**, 54 (1982); **154B**, 397 (1985).